

Mountainland Continuum of Care CoC Project Application Review Criteria HMIS Project

RECOMMENDED PROJECT RANK #:	
RECOMMENDED TIER (CIRCLE):	1 2 SPLIT

This criteria sheet will be the form used to evaluate projects submitted to the FY2016 HUD NOFA competition by the CoC Project Ranking and Review Committee. Each project will be scored by an objective point system. Inquiries about this system can be addressed to the CoC's Assistant Planner, Stephanie Willmore at stephaniew@unitedwayuc.org.

Applicant Organization _____

Project Name _____

GENERAL APPLICATION CRITERIA

/5

1. Applicant complied with CoC application requirements

Submitted:

- Applicant profile submitted in e-snaps
- Project application submitted in e-snaps
- Most recent audit and response to any audit findings
- Most recent HUD monitoring report (if applicable)
- Spreadsheet showing LOCCS drawdowns of funds (if applicable)
- 501c3 letter of determination (if private nonprofit)

/1

2. Eligible applicant (nonprofit organizations, states, local governments, and instrumentalities of state or local government. For-profit entities are NOT eligible to apply for grants or to be sub-recipients of grant funds.)

/2

3. Project serves CoC region (Utah, Wasatch and/or Summit Counties)

/2

4. HUD threshold requirements include the following. If one or more of these is true then must put "0" HUD has reported to CoC that the renewal applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a drastic reduction in the population served, or has made program changes without HUD approval, or has lost a project site and is not expected to satisfactorily obtain a new site, or has history of serving ineligible persons, expending funds on ineligible costs or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.

Points	Scoring
/10	Questions 1 receives 5 points for full completion, and two points if one or more documents are missing. Questions 2, 3, 4, receive one point each. Question 5 receives 2 points, or 0 if.

HMIS PROJECT CRITERIA

/2	Match proposal meets HUD requirements – 25% in cash and/or in-kind except for leasing projects
/2	Supports services for eligible population(s)* (Defined as homeless by one of HUD’s four categories)
/2	Budget shows cost effectiveness (2 points for detailed budget with outlined administrative and service costs)
/2	Readiness to carry out project activities and to achieve goals (2 points: extensive experience of managing program type).
/2	Organization has capacity to properly and timely administer and manage federal funds (2 points: staffing and experience handling federal grant funds evident)
/10	Project supports coordinated entry and assessment system (10 points for SCSO HMIS support, 1 point for any other entity)

Points	Scoring
/20	See scoring criteria above.

GRAND TOTAL	Percentage
/30	%

Comments

If the project is recommended for rejection, please explain the reason(s) for rejection below:

*The vision of *Opening Doors* is centered on the belief that “no one should experience homelessness; no one should be without a safe, stable place to call home.” As amended by this document, the HUD *Opening Doors* Plan sets, and remains focused on four key goals: (1) Prevent and end homelessness among Veterans in 2016; (2) Finish the job of ending chronic homelessness in 2017; (3) Prevent and end homelessness for families, youth and children in 2020; and (4) Set a path to end all types of homelessness.